

REMARKS

The following remarks are responsive to the Office Action dated July 18, 2005. The Applicant respectfully requests entry of this Response, favorable reconsideration of this case, and early issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Election of Species

In the Office Action dated July 18, 2005, the Examiner indicated that claims 1 and 11 are generic claims and that the application includes claims directed to the following four (4) patentably distinct species.

Species IThe embodiment where the proximity sensor is an inductive device.

(Readable on claims 3 and 13).

Species IIThe embodiment where the proximity sensor is a capacitive device.

(Readable on claims 4 and 14).

Species IIIThe embodiment where the proximity sensor is a photoelectric device.

(Readable on claims 5 and 15).

Species IVThe embodiment where the proximity sensor is an ultrasonic device.

(Readable on claims 6 and 16).

In response to this species election requirement, the Applicant elects Species I for prosecution on the merits.

Furthermore, the Applicant traverses this election requirement and respectfully submits that the subject matter of the species claims are not patentably distinct and the Examiner may search those claims together. The classification of claims 3-6 and 13-16 will be identical. Thus, a search of all the pending claims will not impose any burden on the Examiner because a search of the elected species I will also encompass a search of non-elected species II, III and IV.

AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge all required fees, fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17, or all required extension of time fees for this paper to Deposit Account Number 50-0573.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL C. WEBB



Kenneth P. Waszkiewicz

Registration Number: 45,724

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH, LLP

1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 1100

Washington, D.C. 20005-1209

(202) 842-8823 – phone

(202) 842-8465 – fax

Dated: September 19, 2005